

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
KRISTIN FROST
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE
SUITE 1400
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2688

PCT

REC'D 22 JUN 2005
WIPO

PCT

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20 JUN 2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2721104139		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/US05/02262	International filing date (day/month/year) 24 January 2005 (24.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 January 2004 (23.01.2004)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): C07H 1/06; C13D 3/16 and US Cl.: 536/127		
Applicant OHIO UNIVERSITY		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application


2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer  James O. Wilson Telephone No. 703-308-1235
--	---

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/02262

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/02262

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims 1-29 YES
Claims NONE NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims 1-29 YES
Claims NONE NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-29 YES
Claims NONE NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-29 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for separation of either alpha-penta-O-galloyl-D-glucose or beta-penta-O-galloyl-D-glucose from a mixture of both the alpha and beta forms or a method for preparing a single crystal of either forms.

Claims 1-29 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in pharmaceutical industry.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/02262

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 5, 8 and 16 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 5, 8 and 16 are indefinite for the following reason(s):

Claims 5 and 16 recite the terms elevated temperature. It is not clear what temperature is considered elevated temperature. Specifying a particular temperature or a range would make the claim clear.

Claim 8 recites is allowed kept at a temperature lower than room temperature. The term allowed should be deleted. Also since room temperature can vary a specific temperature or a range would clarify the claim.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
KRISTIN FROST
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE
SUITE 1400
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2688

PCT

REC'D 22 JUN 2005

PCT

WIPO

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2721104139		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20 JUN 2005
International application No. PCT/US05/02262	International filing date (day/month/year) 24 January 2005 (24.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 January 2004 (23.01.2004)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): C07H 1/06; C13D 3/16 and US Cl.: 536/127		
Applicant OHIO UNIVERSITY		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Box No. I | Basis of the opinion | | | | | | | |
| Box No. II | Priority | | | | | | | |
| Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | | | | |
| Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention | | | | | | | |
| Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | | | |
| Box No. VI | Certain documents cited | | | | | | | |
| Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application | | | | | | | |
| Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application | | | | | | | |


2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer  James O. Wilson Telephone No. 703-308-1235
--	---

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/02262

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 - a sequence listing
 - table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 - in written format
 - in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 - contained in international application as filed.
 - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 - furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/02262

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims 1-29 YES
Claims NONE NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims 1-29 YES
Claims NONE NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-29 YES
Claims NONE NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-29 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for separation of either alpha-penta-O-galloyl-D-glucose or beta-penta-O-galloyl-D-glucose from a mixture of both the alpha and beta forms or a method for preparing a single crystal of either forms.

Claims 1-29 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in pharmaceutical industry.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/02262

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 5, 8 and 16 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 5, 8 and 16 are indefinite for the following reason(s):

Claims 5 and 16 recite the terms elevated temperature. It is not clear what temperature is considered elevated temperature. Specifying a particular temperature or a range would make the claim clear.

Claim 8 recites is allowed kept at a temperature lower than room temperature. The term allowed should be deleted. Also since room temperature can vary a specific temperature or a range would clarify the claim.